

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

PUBLICATIONS REVIEWED

THE BIRDS OF WASHINGTON | A Complete, Scientific and | Popular Account of the 372 Species of Birds | Found in the State | By | WILLIAM LEON DAWSON, A. M., B. D., of Seattle | Author of "The Birds of Ohio" | assisted by | JOHN HOOPER BOWLES, of Tacoma | Illustrated by more than 300 original half-tones of birds in life, nests, | eggs, and favorite haunts, from photographs by the author and others. | Together with 40 drawings in the text and a series of | full-page colorplates. | By Allan Brooks | ---- | Large Paper Edition | with photogravures and special photographs. | Sold only by subscription. | - | Volume I [-II] | --- | Seattle | The Occidental Publishing Co. | 1909 | All rights reserved. — large 4to, vol. I: 511., pp. i-xviii, 1-458, 3 11.; vol. II: 5 11., pp. i-vi, 459-996, 4 11.; illustrations as indicated in title.

This long expected work reacht us in August, a month of dullness to those who are compelled by circumstances to pass the season in the office, far from the refreshing mountains and forests. In our case, no more pleasurable, vivifying sensation ever pervaded us than when we had unpackt the two massive volumes and began to cut and turn the pages. The wonderfully clear scenic views, the accurate bird portraits, the vivid accounts, all tended to bring us thrillingly close to the realities depicted.

"The Birds of Washington" is the most impressively adorned bird book we have ever handled. From the fly-leaves, with their unique gull-pattern to Brooks' beautifully rendered Duck Hawk portrait, the work is an ideal of artistic taste and elegant book-making.

The text is chiefly popular in style, the technical matter being condensed into brief descriptions, and statements of range. A set of identification keys, prepared by Lynds Jones, is appended to Volume II. The numerous life histories are well told; many of them we recognize as the results of Bowles' careful field work. In fact a large part of the scientific value of the work was evidently contributed by this observer, as fully acknowledged by the author in the introduction.

The accounts of species are vivacious portrayals of their subjects, in the pleasing Dawsonian style. They are chuck full of clever allusion, from Bobby Burns to the Bible. As is clearly explained by the author the book is written to meet the approval of the majority of its readers. Probably 90 percent of the subscribers are very slightly or not at all familiar with previous ornithological literature. It looks a trifle out of place to announce the book as a "complete, scientific" as well as popular treatise, altho we recognize this as customary with publishers. The impression is satisfactorily corrected, however, by the author, who in

the preface shows his attitude to be one of commendable modesty.

The State of Washington is part of a region of wonderful zonal and faunal diversity and for the working out even of the rougher distribution of its birds, a vast amount more of field work will be necessary. While the author is clearly not in sympathy with unlimited collecting of specimens, he exhibits the proper attitude in his having overcome his qualms in many cases, by resorting to the gun to secure proper identification of species. We would suggest that with such birds as the Red-wings, Song Sparrows, Savanna Sparrows, and Jays, collecting in quantity will be necessary before their statuses are satisfactorily worktout. Such work as this (besides also the very large biographical phase of ornithology) awaits the activities of the Caurinus ("northwestern") Club, to which body of ornithologists the "Birds of Washington' is dedicated.

We feel that what we have tried to say in praise of Dawson's "Birds of Washington" is quite inadequate. There is within us a growing feeling of resentment, not towards the author, but towards the "fate" that lead Mr. Dawson to select Washington for his field of ornithological labors, rather than California!—J. G.

MR. LOYE HOLMES MILLER has recently named* a new fossil bird from California under PAVO CALIFORNICUS, A FOSSIL PEACOCK FROM THE QUARTERNARY ASPHALT BEDS OF RANCHO LA BREA. The locality is near Los Angeles where have also been found other interesting bird remains yet to be described. In association with these fossil birds have been uneartht such mammalian forms as the saber-tooth tiger, and a lion even larger than the present-day African lion. The new peacock is recognized from a tarso-metatarsus bearing a spur-core, as in males of the common domesticated peacock. The fossil material is minutely described by the author, and compared with its persisting allies.

"Students of Ornithology have in general laid minor stress on paleontological evidence in the determination of centers of distribution." This has been of necessity, for very little fossil material has been found representing existing bird groups. The discovery of a peacock, therefore, so far from the present native range of the family (the Indian Region) assumes a very large importance. Fossil peacocks have been found previously in Europe and India. Because of the still imperfectly disclosed record, Mr. Miller refrains from advancing any theories as to the course of dissemination of the group, or its place of origin.

As to the influences which have resulted in the disappearance of the phasianines from our fauna, while the quails are today so abundantly

^{*} Univ. Calif. Publ. Geology V, pp. 285-289, pl. 25; issued Aug. 14, 1909.

represented, the author suggests that a change in physiographic conditions may be called into account. Thus a forested area, more like the jungles of India, may have preceded the present-day treeless condition. As the latter condition became prevalent, conspicuous birds dependent upon cover would naturally fall prey to such animals as the coyotes.

It is, of course, not known that Pavo californicus was ornately endowed, as in the existing peacocks. But the inference is that it was. Unless the feather development could be adapted or modified, it would become an impediment to cursorial power. In this connection Mr. Miller asserts his belief that the great length of the rectrices in the Roadrunner are "unquestionably of use in guiding the swift movements of the bird in its efforts to escape enemies, or in pursuit of its active prey." Our own observations would scarcely lead to this conclusion, altho we would hesitate to ascribe any other function to the Roadrunner's elongated tail.—J. G.

CORRESPONDENCE

Editor THE CONDOR:

The July-August number of The Condor was received a day or two ago and I noted among the editorials one in which you state the need of a new manual of colors, and the bad state of your copy of Ridgway's Nomenclature.

I have just received today a book called: Code des Couleurs, a l'usage des Naturalistes, Artistes, Commercants et Industriels. 720 échantillons de couleurs classés d'apres la méthode Chevreul simplifiée, par Paul Klincksieck et Th. Valette; Paris, 1908. It can be had from G. E. Stechert & Co., 129-133 West 20th St., New York, for \$2.58 postpaid.

I think it is a *better* color book than Ridgway's, barring the fact that it is written in French and has a different color nomenclature. The book has 25 plates of blockt colors, like Ridgway's, 720 blocks.

"Ce Code doit sa naissance aux Champignons. Malgré ce point de départ, son application n'est nullement limitée a ce sujet; il doit au contraire trouver son emploi dans toute circonstance ou l'on a besoin de préciser une désignation de couleur."

There are 32 pages of text in which is taken up: (Pt.I) 1. Origine du Code des Couleurs. 2. Mode d'emploi du C. C. 3. Solidité des Couleurs et du papier du C. C.; and (Pt. II) 1. des couleurs au point de vue physique. 2. Sources de lumiere.—Lumieres colorées. 3. couleurs matérielles ou pigments colorés. 4. Classification des couleurs. 5. Code des Couleurs a l'usage des naturalistes. 6. Confection du Code des Couleurs. 7. Examen des couleurs complémentaires. Contrastes.

The colors are on heavy paper, and I think the book is more durable than Ridgway's.

There are 62 colors under vert bleu. The system of numbering is just as convenient and sensible as Ridgway's names. There is nothing, I think, significant or advantageous in writing Van Dyke Brown instead of Orange 118.

The authors express the hope that some system of color nomenclature may become international, and I don't see why a system like this is not pretty good.

F. GRINNELL, JR. Pasadena, Calif., July 27, 1909.

Directory of Members of the Cooper Ornithological Club

Revised to August 1, 1909.

(Residence in California unless otherwise stated. Year following address indicates date of election.)

HONORARY MEMBERS

Belding, Lyman, Stockton. 1896.
Merriam, Dr. C. Hart, 1919 16th St., Washington, D. C. 1909.

Ridgway, Robert, 3413 13th St., N. E., Brookland, D. C. 1905.

ACTIVE MEMBERS

Adams, Ernest, Box 21, Clipper Gap, Placer Co. 1896.

Alexander, Annie M., 1006 16th St., Oakland. 1908.

Anderson, Malcolm P., Menlo Park. 1901.

Appleton, J. S., Simi, Ventura Co. 1901.

Arnold, Dr. Ralph, 726 H. W. Hellman Bldg., Los Angeles. 1893.

Bade, Wm. Frederic, 2616 College Ave., Berkeley. 1903.

Bailey, Henry F., 94 Pacific Ave., Santa Cruz. 1902.

Bailey, H. H., 321 54th St., Newport News, Va. 1903.

Bailey, Vernon, Dept. of Agriculture, Washington, D. C. 1904.

Bales, Dr. B. R., 151 West Main St., Circleville, Ohio. 1906.

Barnes, R. Magoon, Lacon, Ill. 1908.

Barrows, Prof. Walter B., Box 183, East Lansing, Mich. 1909.

Bay, J. Cliff, Ingot, Shasta Co. 1903.

Beal, Prof. F. E. L., Dept. Agriculture, Washington, D. C. 1904.

Beck, Rollo H., Berryessa. 1894.

Bennett, R. H., Room 503, 149 California St., San Francisco. 1909.

Bent, A. C., Taunton, Mass. 1909.

Birdseye, Clarence, Biological Survey, Washinton, D. C. 1909.

Bishop, Dr. Louis B., 356 Orange St., New Haven, Conn. 1904.

Black, Lester, Bloomington, Ind. 1908.